APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

<u>SEC</u>	TION I	: B	<u>ACKGF</u>	<u>ROUND</u>	<u>INFOF</u>	RMAT	ION
Α		D T 4		ETION			A D.

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): May 24, 2019

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, Spring Valley Project, SPK-2012-00323 C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Nevada County/parish/borough: Pershing County City: Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 40.33397°, Long. -118.14163° Universal Transverse Mercator: 11 403025.73 4465451.33 Name of nearest waterbody: North Fork of Spring Valley Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: N/A Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Dixie Valley, 16060001 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form: D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: May 22, 2019 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): **SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS** RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] ☐ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 ☐ TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet, wide, and/or Wetlands: acres

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):3

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: One isolated intermittent and thirteen isolated ephemeral channels that exhibit Ordinary High Water Marks (OHWM) for 86,612 linear feet and twenty seven isolated wetlands, totaling 21.28 acres, are located within the delineation boundary.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Channel 1 is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 753 linear feet within the delineated area.

Channel 2 is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 4,935 linear feet within the delineated area.

Channel 3 is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 4,568 linear feet within the delineated area.

Channel 4a is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 10,808 linear feet within the delineated area.

Channel 4b is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 4.464 linear feet within the delineated area.

Channel 4c is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 6,091 linear feet within the delineated area.

Channel 5 is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 21,184 linear feet within the delineated area.

Channel 6 is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 13,868 linear feet within the delineated area.

(4)Channel 7 is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 1,045 linear feet within the delineated area. Wetlands Lower Spring Valley Creek 2018 Wetland 1 (0.18 acre), Lower Spring Valley Creek 2018 Wetland 2 (0.26 acre), Lower Spring Valley Creek 2018 Wetland 3 (0.13 acre) and Spring Valley Creek 2012 (9.80 acres) are adjacent to but do not directly abut Channel 7.

Channel 8 is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 3,651 linear feet within the delineated area.

(3)Channel 9 is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 1,393 linear feet within the delineated area. Wetlands 3f (0.01 acre), 3f1 (0.06 acre) and 3f2 (0.02 acre) are adjacent to but do not directly abut Channel 9.

(15)Channel 10 is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 9,048 linear feet within the delineated area. Wetlands Excavated Pond 1 (1.80 acre), Excavated Pond 2 (0.67 acre), Excavated Pond 3 (0.42 acre), Excavated Pond 4 (0.40 acre), Excavated Pond 5 (0.39 acre), Northeast Artesian Well (0.15 acre), Large Southeast SV Wetlands (1.73 acre), Southeast Seeps (0.21 acre), Spring Valley Creek (1.48 acre), Lower Corral Springs (0.05 acre), Upper Corral Springs (0.03 acre), Upper Gold Mountain Spring (0.11 acre), Lower Gold Mountain Spring (0.06 acre), Gold Mountain Seep (0.08acre) and Upper Spring Valley Creek (2.60 acres) are adjacent to but do not directly abut Channel 10.

(5)Channel 11 (North Fork of Spring Valley Creek) is an intermittent channel that exhibits an OHWM for 1,385 linear feet within the delineated area. Wetland SV-23 (0.31 acre) is adjacent to but does not directly abut Channel 11. Wetlands North Fork Spring Valley Creek 1 (0.075 acre), North Fork Spring Valley Creek 2 (0.05 acre) and North Fork Spring Valley Creek 3 (0.029 acre) are adjacent to but do not directly abut Channel 11. North Fork Spring Valley Creek 4 (0.046 acre) directly abuts Channel 11.

Channel 12 is an ephemeral channel that exhibits an OHWM for 3,419 linear feet within the delineated area.

All delineated channels and wetlands are isolated features that drain toward but do not reach the Buena Vista alkali flat, an isolated feature that has no direct or indirect connection to the Rye Patch Reservoir or the Carson River. The Rye Patch reservoir and the Carson River are the closest Traditional Navigable Waters (TNW). The subject channels have discrete termini and no connection to a downstream tributary or waterbody. Additionally, the features are located within the Dixie Valley Hydrographic Basin, which is an internally-drained watershed that lacks a direct or indirect surface connection to the Humboldt River System. Therefore, the wetlands and channels within the delineation boundary are isolated, intrastate waters with no connection to interstate commerce and are not jurisdictional.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)			
		tershed size: 3,990 square miles	
		inage area: Pick List	
		rage annual rainfall: inches	
	Ave	rage annual snowfall: inches	
(ii)		vsical Characteristics: Relationship with TNW: Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.	
		Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:	
		Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Tributary stream order, if known:	
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is:	
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List .	
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:	
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:	

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):	%
Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review are Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:	ea/year: Pick List
Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:	
Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:	
Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:	 □ the presence of litter and debris □ destruction of terrestrial vegetation □ the presence of wrack line □ sediment sorting □ scour □ multiple observed or predicted flow events □ abrupt change in plant community
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determinate apply): High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):	ne lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
emical Characteristics: tracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored naracteristics, etc.). Explain: httfy specific pollutants, if known:	d, oily film; water quality; general watershed
logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check a Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width Vetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:):
eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow	directly or indirectly into TNW
General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries.	Explain:
	Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review are Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume: Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM6 (check all indicators that apply): Clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving Vegetation matted down, bent, or absent Eaf litter disturbed or washed away Sediment deposition Water staining Other (list): Discontinuous OHWM.7 Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determinapply): Gil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics didal gauges other (list): mical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored areacteristics, etc.). Explain: tiffy specific pollutants, if known: ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check a Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width Vetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

2.

		(b)	General Flow Relationsh Flow is: Pick List . Expla	<u>ip with Non-TNW</u> : in:		
			Surface flow is: Pick Lis Characteristics:	st		
			Subsurface flow: Pick Li Dye (or other) test			
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Dete Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland h Ecological connect Separated by berr	nydrologic connection. It		
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) Project wetlands are Pic Project waters are Pick I Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate loc	k List river miles from T List aerial (straight) mile		
	(ii)	Cha c	emical Characteristics: aracterize wetland system haracteristics; etc.). Explantify specific pollutants, if I	ain:	ar, brown, oil film on surface; wa	ater quality; general watershed
	(iii)		logical Characteristics. Riparian buffer. Characte Vegetation type/percent co Habitat for: Federally Listed spec Fish/spawn areas. Ex Other environmentally Aquatic/wildlife divers	ristics (type, average wi over. Explain: ies. Explain findings: plain findings: /-sensitive species. Exp	dth):	
3.	Cha	All ۱	teristics of all wetlands a wetland(s) being consider proximately acres in	ed in the cumulative and		
		For	each wetland, specify the	following:		
			Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

 Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: ☐ TNWs: linear feet, wide, Or acres. ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	 RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet wide. Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet, wide. Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

8See Footnote # 3.

		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9 As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	WA 	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH ATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	lde	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
		ovide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet, wide. Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. These isolated waters include one isolated intermittent stream and thirteen isolated ephemeral channels that exhibit OHWM for 86,612 linear feet, as well as twenty seven isolated wetlands, totaling 21.28 acres ☑ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): All wetlands in the review area are isolated features that are associated with drainage features that drain toward but do not reach the Buena Vista alkali flat, which itself is an isolated feature that has no direct or indirect connection to the nearest TNWs (Rye Patch Reservoir or the Carson River).
	the usi	ovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), ng best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, wide. Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 21.28 acres.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, wide. Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
Α.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Aquatic Resources Delineation Report, Spring Valley Project, SPK2012-00323. Figures 1-18, prepared by Redhorse Corporation, April 1, 2019. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Aquatic Resources Delineation Report, Spring Valley Project, SPK2012-00323. Appendix D, prepared by Redhorse Corporation, April 1, 2019. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K; Rochester USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Citation: Web Soil Survey. https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx. Accessed May 22, 2019 National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html. Accessed May 22, 2019 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): or Other (Name & Date): Aquatic Resources Delineation Report, Spring Valley Project, SPK2012-00323. Appendix C and D, prepared by Redhorse Corporation, April 1, 2019. Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: SPK-2012-00323, August 2012 and March 2015 Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):
	Other information (piease specify).

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

The channels within the delineation boundary flow towards but end before reaching the Buena Vista alkali flat and have no direct or indirect connection to a TNW. The Buena Vista alkali flat is the lowest point in the northern Dixie Valley Hydrographic Basin which is internally drained, and has no connection to the Rye Patch Reservoir or the Carson River.